

Scotland's Census

From paper and internet to a final number
(and then detailed outputs)

Head of Downstream Processing Unit, October 2012

Overview

- ▶ Census taken on 27 March 2011
- ▶ Roughly 80% paper returns, 20% internet.
- ▶ To arrive at a population figure we:
 - Capture and clean the data
 - Impute missing characteristics
 - Estimate the returns we didn't get
 - Derive variables for output
 - Assign output areas
 - Disclosure Control of the data

Development of methods

- ▶ Developed in close consultation with Office for National Statistics (ONS), Welsh Assembly Government (WAG) and Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA)
- ▶ Allows harmonised outputs
- ▶ Implementation by National Records of Scotland (NRS), but making use of ONS algorithms and code where possible.

Capture and Coding

- ▶ Scanning / Operators
 - All tick boxes and text fields captured as text
 - Questionnaires guillotined and scanned
 - Hundreds of operators
 - Questionable fields flagged to operators
 - Quality assurance samples drawn and checked

Data Cleaning – Initial Validation

- ▶ Load and Validation – right types of values/ranges etc
 - Check data received as expected
 - Load into Small Area Statistics (SAS) database
 - Referential integrity
 - Range checks

- ▶ Remove false Persons – (2 of 6 rule)
 - Occur due to: crossings out/mistakes or dust on scanner
 - Reject person records without a response to at least 2 of:
 - name
 - sex
 - marital/civil partnership status
 - date of birth

Data Cleaning – Multiple Responses

- ▶ Can occur due to:
 - Internet and paper returns from same household
 - Two paper returns from same household
 - person filling in details twice
 - person on both household and individual forms
- ▶ Identify which case then
 - decide which is 'best' response (rules)
 - merge data where appropriate

Data Cleaning – Filter rules

- ▶ Not everyone should answer every question, e.g. own accommodation (skip landlord question), born in UK (skip date of arrival) under 16 (skip employment questions)
- ▶ Resolve inconsistent responses
- ▶ Deterministic?
- ▶ Which response do we believe?

Imputation (1)

- ▶ Some records have missing/inconsistent data
- ▶ Probabilistic approach
- ▶ Requires complex relationships between members of the household to be analysed
- ▶ Missing and inconsistent responses

Imputation (2)

- ▶ Canadian Census Edit and Imputation Software (CANCEIS)
- ▶ Donor imputation
- ▶ Minimum change
- ▶ Decision Logic Tables (DLT)
- ▶ Deterministic edits?

Coverage matching and estimation

- ▶ Missing households and people
- ▶ Census Coverage Survey (CCS)
- ▶ Match Census and CCS records - automatic and clerical
- ▶ Dual systems estimation
- ▶ Regression estimator
- ▶ Age-sex groups by local authority
- ▶ Overcount?
- ▶ Estimates Quality Assured against admin sources

Coverage adjustment

- ▶ Produce consistent individual level database
- ▶ Add missed households and individuals
- ▶ Use known gaps where possible
- ▶ Maintain consistency with surrounding area
- ▶ ‘Skeleton records’

First release

- ▶ 5 year age bands, by local authority, by gender

Post-Coverage Imputation

- ▶ We need to fill out realistic characteristics for the skeleton records
- ▶ Use CANCEIS

Derive complex variables

- ▶ Remaining variables for outputs, e.g.
 - household composition algorithm
 - dwellings
 - occupation
 - industry

Output area creation

- ▶ Lowest geographical level of unrestricted data release
- ▶ Working on a principle of minimum change from 2001
- ▶ Working closely with NRS Geography

Disclosure control

- ▶ Protect individual-level data by introducing uncertainty
- ▶ Assuming pre-tabular either over-imputation or record swapping
- ▶ Level to be decided (and not made public)
- ▶ Balance between protection and utility

Publication and Dissemination

- ▶ Phased releases
- ▶ Increasing detail
- ▶ Thematic outputs etc

Thank you