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## 1. Plain English Abstract

Every 10 years or so, the people of Scotland (as well as the UK) are required to fill out a Census, which contains questions about their everyday lives, and gives the people of the country a snapshot into daily life at a certain point in time. This picture assists governments and other agencies on making decisions for planning and services.

Some questions in Scotland's Census are more applicable to a respondent than others, and when this occurs the respondent is often asked to skip certain questions - usually based on a previous answer, or on criteria such as age. However, if not done correctly, this can create contradictory responses. For example, this can occur if the respondent misses the guidance to skip a question (or a group of questions) and subsequently provide answers they are not meant to or do not have to. Since these questions do not apply to them, the answers tend to be misinterpreted and of poorer quality, creating conflicts within a respondent's census record.

Generally, these types of conflicts are resolved in a process called Edit and Imputation. However, the number of conflicts which need to be resolved can put unnecessary strain on computing resources, and some of the responses simply require reinforcement of the routing structure on the questionnaire. As such, a process called the Filter Rules is run prior to Edit and Imputation, to reduce this burden - firstly, by resolving inconsistencies (where a response can reasonably be assumed), and secondly, by signalling to Edit and Imputation that a response is not required for a particular question.

For 2022, the Filter Rules primarily reinforces or applies routing for paper questionnaires, as the online questionnaire automatically applies routing during the course of a response. To ensure we treat data in a consistent manner however, online responses will also be processed through the Filter Rules.

This paper outlines the background to the Filter Rules, it's place in census data processing, and how it was used in 2011, before outlining the changes to the process for Scotland's Census 2022, and detailing the methodology to be used.

## 2. Abstract

A census is taken every 10 years or so in Scotland and the UK, which asks questions about a person's daily life. Collectively, the responses are processed, compiled and the aggregate answers released so that the country has a picture of what life in Scotland at that particular point in time looks like.

However, not all questions are applicable to every person, and so respondents are asked to skip questions that do not pertain to them (often referred to as skip logic). On paper questionnaires in particular, this can present a problem, as respondents have the opportunity to see and answer any question. By answering questions that aren't applicable to them, respondents can inadvertently provide conflicting answers.

Equally, where respondents have answered questions that were appropriately skipped, it is necessary to distinguish these at the item (question) response level from those questions which were skipped, but should have been answered.

In processing census data for outputs, the step where inconsistencies and missing responses are resolved is a statistical process called Edit and Imputation, or E\&I. The process uses hot-deck (within dataset) donor methodology which identifies and resolves missing responses and inconsistencies to create a whole, plausible dataset which reflects the population in an accurate manner.

However, the number of conflicts that would need to be resolved in Edit and Imputation can be innumerable, which can put a strain on processing. The Edit and Imputation system also does not distinguish between a missing response which requires resolution, and a missing response which does not because it was appropriately skipped. Further, the donor methodology that Edit and Imputation uses benefits from as many "complete" questions as possible, maximising the pool of donor responses that can be used.

As such, a step run prior to Edit and Imputation called Filter Rules is used to reinforce the questionnaire routing on the Census questionnaire, by re-coding appropriately skipped responses from "missing" to "no code required", signalling a
complete answer to Edit and Imputation. Additionally, it resolves basic inconsistencies by deterministically applying rules in situations where the response can be reasonably assumed. This in turn relieves Edit and Imputation of some burden, and maximises the donor pool.

This paper will take a look at the Filter Rules methodology that was used in 2011, and outlines the changes to the process to be used for Scotland's Census in 2022.

Note: On 17 July 2020 Scottish Government announced the decision to move Scotland's Census to 2022 following the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. The information included in this report reflects the methodology intended, at the time of publication, to be used in the 2022 Census. It is not expected that there will be any major differences between the methodology presented here and that used. However, some detail may change or be completed before or during census processing. Any major changes to the intended methodology will be described in an update here.

## 3. Background and Introduction

Traditionally in Scotland (and elsewhere in the UK), a census questionnaire has been issued every 10 years to every person and household in the country, asking respondents questions about themselves (and the household). Primarily, this was done in a paper format, but 2022 will mark the first census in Scotland where the majority of responses will be conducted online.

Generally, a respondent answering a questionnaire is not required to provide an answer for every question, depending on what the questions are and the respondent's circumstances. The same holds true for Scotland's Census - for example, those under the age of 16 are asked to skip subsequent questions about their employment activity (Figure 1). When guidance to skip subsequent questions is not followed (or misinterpreted), the potential for these answers to be inconsistent with earlier answers on the questionnaire exists. This creates issues in data processing, particularly for the Edit and Imputation (E\&I) function - a process where missing and/or contradictory responses are resolved. ${ }^{1}$

Figure 1: Example of Routing Guidance in the Census Questionnaire

## 24 If you are aged 16 or over $\Rightarrow$ go to 25 <br> If you are aged 15 or under $\Rightarrow$ go to 41

During census processing, there are a number of pre-determined rules which are implemented to assist in addressing this issue. They cover two overall purposes firstly, to resolve inconsistencies where the answer can reasonably be assumed, and secondly to reinforce routing by turning appropriately skipped responses from "missing" to "no code required". Marking these values as such allow a more accurate measurement of item (question) level non-response.

[^0]Collectively, these pre-determined rules are referred to as the Filter Rules.

Filter Rules is one of the pre-processing, or "data cleansing" steps in census data processing. Data cleansing is a collection of preliminary processes which are largely deterministic, responsible for cleaning and preparing the data for further statistical processing. In 2022, data cleansing covers the Name Reordering, Remove False Persons, Resolve Multiple Responses and Filter Rules processes². Filter Rules is the last of these pre-processes, but closely linked with Edit and Imputation, which follows directly after (Figure 2, below).

Figure 2: Simplified Overview of the 2022 Census Data Journey


It is important to note that the Filter Rules process primarily applies to responses collected via paper questionnaires. Inconsistent answers or incorrect routing is a much smaller issue for responses made through the census questionnaire online. As a feature of the online questionnaire, respondents are simply brought to the next question, based on the answer(s) given in preceding questions. This prevents the respondent from seeing unnecessary questions, which reduces respondent burden

[^1]and minimises the ability to provide inconsistent answers. On paper however, a respondent is able to see all of the questions at once, providing the opportunity to create these inconsistencies.

Questionnaire routing, and therefore Filter Rules, are applied to Census Household, Household Person and Individual questionnaires, and this paper covers the methodology used in resolving inconsistencies or routing errors in the Census dataset. A similar filter rules methodology will be used for the Census Coverage Survey (CCS), with the difference being in the number of filter rules needed for the CCS due to it being a smaller questionnaire.

## 4. 2011 Census Filter Rules Methodology

In 2011, the Filter Rules step was an automated process written in SAS, a statistical/analytical programming language that is used to make data transformations and conduct data analysis. The Filter Rules were pre-determined, based on questionnaire content (routing and guidance). These rules essentially:
a. Located records where the answering path was incorrect; for example, if individuals under 16 chose to answer the "Employment Activity" ${ }^{3}$ questions. Individuals under the age of 16 were asked to skip these questions. Where appropriate, Filter Rules changed the subsequent Employment Activity answers to a code which indicated the provision of these answers were unnecessary (known as "no code required", or NCR).

Located records where an answer was inconsistent with subsequent answers on the questionnaire. Where appropriate, Filter Rules changed either the answer to the routing question OR subsequent answers to "no code required" (or, in a few circumstances, "missing"). For example, where a person answered that they owned their home, they were asked to skip the landlord question. If the landlord question was answered, it created an inconsistent

[^2]response, and the filter rule changed the landlord answer to "no code required" as we prioritised the ownership question (ie, making answering the landlord question is unnecessary).
b. Located records where an answer was inconsistent with subsequent answers on the questionnaire, but, based on the information provided in these answers, a resolution could not be reasonably or logically determined. In these cases, no action was taken and these were left for Edit and Imputation steps to resolve. An example of this was where a person's age was stated as younger than 16, but the respondent subsequently filled all of the economic activity questions. Here, we could not reasonably assume which was filled correctly (age or economic activity), so no changes are made and this falls to E\&I to resolve.

Where inconsistencies exist, the filter rules may take into consideration the information which has been provided (even when it shouldn't have been), rather than rely purely on the answer of the routing question. Answers where combinations cannot be reasonably assumed are left for the Edit and Imputation steps to resolve, as the donor methodology used is more appropriate for making these resolutions. Filter rules aim to reduce the burden on E\&I however by processing these logical, pre-determined steps upfront. Reinforcing routing (ie by recoding values from "missing" to "no code required") allows the donor pool for E\&I to be maximised.

### 4.1 2011 Filter Rules

In 2011, the Filter Rules concerned the following questions ${ }^{4}$ :

## 1. Tenure (H10) and Landlord (H11) Questions (Household Section)

[^3]```
H10 Does your household own or rent this
    accommodation?
    - Tick one box only
        Owns outright }->\mathrm{ Go to H12
    Owns with a mortgage or loan }->\mathrm{ Go to H12
    Part owns and part rents (shared ownership)
    Rents (with or without housing benefit)
    Lives here rent free
```

H11 Who is your landlord?
Council (Local Authority)
Housing Association / Registered Social Landlord
Private landlord or letting agency
Employer of a household member
Relative or friend of a household member
Other

Where the options on the Tenure (H10) question were answered, "Owns outright" or "Owns with a mortgage or loan", the H11 Landlord question should be skipped; as such, based on the answer to Tenure, H11 answers were changed to No Code Required. If the respondent answered appropriately and left the question blank, the change would have been from Missing to NCR. However, if they had answered H11, the answers would have been changed to NCR regardless.

## 2. Student (5) and Term-Time Address (6) (Person Questions)

5 Are you a schoolchild or student in full-time education?

Yes
No $\rightarrow$ Go to 7

6 During term-time, do you live:
at the address on the front of this questionnaire? at another address? $\rightarrow$ Go to 38

Student (5) and Term-Time Address (6) were important routing questions primarily because it concerns the placement of full time students (at the address on the questionnaire or at their term-time address). Without the appropriate routing, it may have been possible to count these students in two different places. In cases where students in full time education resided at a different address during term time, they were not required to answer the rest of the person section of the questionnaire.

## 3. Country of Birth (7) and Year of Arrival (8)

7 What is your country of birth?

```
Scotland }->\mathrm{ Go to }
    England }->\mathrm{ Go to }
    Wales }->\mathrm{ Go to }
```

    Northern Ireland \(\rightarrow\) Go to 9
    Republic of Ireland
    Elsewhere, please write in the current name of the country

8 If you were not born in the United Kingdom, when did you most recently arrive to live here?

- Do not count short visits away from the UK.

In addition to the changes applied by routing, it was found on occasion that the Country of Birth (7) and Year of Arrival (8) questions were sometimes filled in a contradictory manner - for example, where Year of Arrival occurred after Date of Birth, but the country that was ticked included one of the Four Nations (Scotland, England, Wales or Northern Ireland). In these cases, consideration was given to the Date of Birth and Country of Birth questions, and the Year of Arrival answer was therefore changed to No Code Required.

## 4. Travel to Work or Study (11) and Transportation (12)


12 How do you usually travel to your main place of work or study (including school)?

- Tick one box only.
- Tick the box for the longest part, by distance, of your usual journey to work or study.

Driving a car or van
Passenger in a car or van
On foot
Bus, minibus or coach
Train
Underground, subway, metro, light rail or tram
Taxi
Bicycle
Motorcycle, scooter or moped
Other

When the option "Work or Study mainly from home" was chosen for the Travel to Work (11) question, the guidance asked that Transport (12) be skipped. However, since respondents may not have skipped this question (perhaps choosing "Other" as a response), this was a necessary rule intended to create a code for Transport that previously didn't exist. Where "Work or Study mainly from home" for question 11 was chosen, the Filter Rule changed Transport to a newly-created "Works from Home" code, regardless of if Transport was properly skipped or not.

## 5. 0 Year Olds (2) and Address One Year Ago (10)

10 One year ago, what was your usual address?

- If you had no usual address one year ago, state the address where you were staying

The address on the front of this questionnaire
Student term-time / boarding school address in the UK, please write in below
Another address in the UK, please write in

Outside the UK, please write in country

For the purposes of children under the age of 1 ("0 Year Olds"), it was found that sometimes householders would sometimes write in an address for them presumably the address that the parents were in at that time - as there was no guidance on the questionnaire suggesting that they skip the question. However, for the purposes of processing this was unnecessary, and so this rule existed to "recode" the answered Address One Year Ago variables to No Code Required

## 6. Working Age (22-Guidance)

## 22 If you are aged 16 or over $\rightarrow$ Go to 23 If you are aged 15 or under $\rightarrow$ Go to 38

Questions 23-37 on the 2011 Census questionnaire were about a person's Economic Activity (qualifications or employment). We do not require this information from those individuals under the age of 16 , so guidance (22) directed them to skip these questions. However, they were sometimes answered by under 16s regardless, and in those cases this Filter Rule turned the employment questions to No Code Required to follow routing guidelines.

This rule also routed past the qualifications question - the rule concerning qualifications corrected a different type of mistake.

## 7. Qualifications (23)

23 Which of these qualifications do you have?

- Tick all that apply.

O Grade, Standard Grade, Access 3 Cluster, Intermediate 1 or 2, GCSE, CSE, Senior Certificate or equivalent

SCE Higher Grade, Higher, Advanced Higher, CSYS, A Level, AS Level, Advanced Senior Certificate or equivalent
GSVQ Foundation or Intermediate, SVQ level 1 or 2, SCOTVEC Module, City and Guilds Craft or equivalent
GSVQ Advanced, SVQ level 3, ONC, OND, SCOTVEC National Diploma, City and Guilds Advanced Craft or equivalent
HNC, HND, SVQ level 4 or equivalent
Degree, Postgraduate qualifications, Masters, PhD, SVQ level 5 or equivalent
Professional qualifications (for example, teaching, nursing, accountancy)
Other school qualifications not already mentioned (including foreign qualifications)
Other post-school but pre-Higher Education qualifications not already mentioned (including foreign qualifications)
Other Higher Education qualifications not already mentioned (including foreign qualifications) No qualifications

The Qualifications (23) rule looked at situations where there may have been discrepancy within the qualifications answer itself. As an example, if a respondent had ticked that they had any of the listed qualifications (such as school or postsecondary) but also went on to tick the last box, "no qualifications", the Filter Rule subsequently set the tickbox answer for no qualifications to No Code Required.

## 8. Work Last Week (24), Ever Worked (29) (Economic Activity)

24 Last week were you:

- Tick all that apply.
- Include any paid work, including casual or temporary work, even if only for one hour.
working as an employee? $\rightarrow$ Go to 30
on a Government sponsored training scheme? $\rightarrow$ Go to 30
self-employed or freelance? $\rightarrow$ Go to 30
working paid or unpaid for your $\rightarrow$ Go to 30 own or your family's business?
away from work ill, on maternity $\rightarrow$ Go to 30 laid off?
doing any other kind of paid work? $\rightarrow$ Go to 30
none of the above

29 Have you ever worked? Yes, please write in the year you last worked $\rightarrow$ Go to 30

No, have never worked $\rightarrow$ Go to 38

The Filter Rule which looked at the Economic Activity questions reviewed questions 24 to 37 and potentially made a number of changes by balancing groups of answers - if the respondent indicated they were working, if they have indicated they ever worked (and the last year they were in work), and two groups of employment variables. This rule was the most complex of all the rules in 2011, partly because of the combination tick/write in box on question 29, and had the largest number of subrules.

## 9. Rules on the Placeholder Questionnaire

In addition to these, there were two filter rules which concerned Placeholder Forms (forms which were filled out by enumerators and returned in place of a response from a householder). However, routing for placeholder forms will be unnecessary for 2022 and so the 2011 equivalents will not be discussed here.

### 4.2 Additional Filter Rules ("CANCEIS Pre-Processing" Steps)

During the live running of Census Data Processing in 2011, Imputation found some data issues in the first processing unit, thought likely to be prevalent throughout the rest of the data. These issues were addressed by creating five extra Filter Rules to assist with the donor process in Edit and Imputation, primarily to maximise the donor pool or re-code responses so that the Edit and Imputation software, CANCEIS, functioned as intended. Four of the rules were addendums to already existing rules (Students, Qualifications, Travel to Work and 0 Year Olds). A rule concerning the Long Term Health question was the only entirely new rule added.

The additional filter rules concerned the following questions:

1. Student and Term Time Address
2. Qualifications (for Under 16s)
3. Long-Term Health Conditions
4. Travel to Work (Working from Home)
5. 0 Year Olds and Address One Year Ago

For 2022, these rules will be incorporated into their corresponding existing Filter Rules, if they remain applicable to the 2022 dataset.

### 4.3 2011 Harmonisation with Other UK Offices (ONS, NISRA)

In 2011, there were three offices responsible for carrying out their respective Census. In England and Wales this was headed by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) and the Census in Northern Ireland was led by Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA). Alongside this, the National Records of Scotland (NRS) conducted the Census for Scotland (then known as the General Register Office of Scotland, or GROS).

Scotland's 2011 Filter Rules process was originally inherited from the Office of National Statistics, and adapted to the Scottish Census to account for the differences between the Census questionnaires and routing. The goal of the process remained the same between all Census offices - to resolve inconsistencies (where the response could reasonably be assumed), and to enforce questionnaire routing (by changing the appropriate "missing" responses to "no code required"), thereby reducing load and burden on subsequent Edit and Imputation processes.

However, the application of these rules may differ somewhat between the offices, as it is entirely dependent upon the questions and structure of each country's Census. This being said, the questionnaires remain similar in many ways, as comparability across countries (and over time) is a significant consideration when the questionnaires are developed.

## 5. 2022 Filter Rules Methodology

Overall, the context for using Filter Rules remains the same for 2022 as it did in 2011. As a process, it is required as a precursor to Edit and Imputation in order to ensure consistency in the application of questionnaire routing, relieve some of the burden from Edit and Imputation processing, and maximise the E\&I donor pool.

Thus, the objectives of Filter Rules in 2022 are to:

1. Ensure the application of questionnaire routing in responses

Primarily, this will apply to paper responses as online responses should skip questions that do not apply, and automatically assign the skipped questions with "no code required" (-5) rather than "missing" (-9). This distinguishes appropriate nonresponse at the item (question) level from those questions which were skipped but should have been answered, and signals to Edit and Imputation processes that the remaining "missing" items require imputation.
2. Resolve (certain, limited) inconsistencies

The Filter Rules will also resolve certain inconsistencies, where we can reasonably assume the response. This is done through creating pre-determined rules which follow the questionnaire routing and take into account the intended meaning of which the question was written.

For example, where a person has answered that they own their home, they are then asked to skip the landlord question. If the landlord question is answered, creating an inconsistent response, it is changed to "no code required" as we prioritise the ownership question - thus making answering the landlord question unnecessary (this example is the same in 2022 as in 2011).

It is important to note that while these specified changes are a necessary part of data preparation, in cases where ambiguity exists between responses and we cannot reasonably assume a response, changes are not made. These types of conflicts are evaluated through the Edit and Imputation methodology ${ }^{5}$, which is more appropriate to resolve such contradictions. The Filter Rules only make certain pre-determined corrections, based on the intended routing of the questionnaire. In those cases, the specification should read a "no action", to indicate that we have considered the scenario better suited to be resolved by Edit and Imputation's donor method (rather than the deterministic method employed by the Filter Rules).

## 3. Create a flag dataset

The 2011 Filter Rules produced a flag dataset at the variable level where, if a particular variable was changed, a flag would be set to indicate it had done so. However, because several rules had the ability to make changes to a particular variable, it was difficult to untangle which rule(s) caused the change - making evaluation more cumbersome to do. For 2022, a larger range of flags will be produced based on the itemised step of each filter rule, allowing the ability to trace back not only to the variable which was changed, but the step in the rule which initiated the change. This should help ensure that the changes made were correctly applied, and assist in tracking the scale at which Filter Rules makes these changes.

### 5.1 Differences Between Paper and Online Questionnaires

Although Scotland's Census in 2011 was delivered both online and on paper, the majority of returns in 2011 were made through paper - approximately 80\%. In 2022, household respondents will first be provided with an Internet Access Code (IAC) and encouraged to provide their census return online, with paper questionnaires available for those who request one. Accordingly, we expect many more online

[^4]responses in 2022 than in 2011 (supported by the 2019 rehearsal), and fewer paper responses. The programme is aiming for a completion rate of $80 \%$ for online responses, inverse to 2011 - leaving $20 \%$ on paper.

When respondents complete the census questionnaire online in 2022, the Online Collection Instrument ( OCl ) will automatically route respondents past questions that they do not have to answer, based on the answer they have provided (the "routing question"). However, on paper responses, this will be unavailable to respondents and the opportunity to view all questions - and hence the ability to make mistakes and/or provide inconsistent responses - will exist. To minimise the potential bias between the two modes of completion, rules are developed as consistently as possible with the routing of the questionnaire, and all responses (both online and paper) will be processed through the filter rules. This may also have the added benefit of catching potential issues in the routing of online responses.

### 5.1.1 Age-Based Routing Differences in Paper vs Online Questionnaires

Age Routing is where respondents under a specified age are not required to answer certain questions. For example, in 2011, there was guidance provided to indicate that under 16 s did not have to answer the qualifications or employment questions (regardless of the question applied/could be answered by the respondent or not).

## 22 If you are aged 16 or over $\rightarrow$ Go to 23 If you are aged 15 or under $\rightarrow$ Go to 38

In 2011, this "Under 16s" rule, and an unwritten rule about the address of babies under the age of one, were the only situations to which this applied.

The Census questionnaire in 2022 has changed so that age-based routing will apply to other questions as well:

Questions asked of people aged 1 and over:

- The question on address 1 year ago (question 11 in the person section)


## Questions asked of people aged 3 and over:

- All language questions, which include English Skills (13), Gaelic and Scots Skills (14), the BSL question (15) and the main language question (16)
- The question on the provision of unpaid care (12)


## Questions asked of people aged 4 and over:

- The question on whether you are a school child or full-time student (6)
- The questions on travel to place of work or study (42 and 43)

Questions asked of people aged 16 or over:

- The new (voluntary) question on trans status or history (4)
- The new (voluntary) question on sexual orientation (8)
- The new question on Ex Service status (26)
- The question on legal marital and civil partnership status (5)
- The qualifications held question (25)
- The questions on employment and activity last week (27-40)

However, the routing concerning these questions will be applied differently between online and paper questionnaires. Online, guidance and automatic routing will direct respondents through the appropriate answering path as outlined above. While paper questionnaires by nature give respondents the opportunity to answer any and all questions (mistakenly or otherwise), the 2022 paper questionnaire will not be providing guidance for age-based routing ${ }^{6}$, meaning it is likely that we will see responses to questions that we would have routed around online.

However, the routing will apply to all respondents regardless of if the questionnaire is answered online or through paper, so the routing for these responses will need to be implemented during processing, partially through the Filter Rules. In such cases, we

[^5]may find that the rules for age-based routing will result in constant and numerous changes for paper questionnaires.

This brings up some uncertainty in the event where a respondent's age is incorrect or ambiguous, as there have been known quality issues surrounding the date of birth answer (capture issues, or respondent mistake). Normally, as with the Under 16s rule, the Filter Rules would take into account if there is contradictory evidence between the stated age and subsequent questions that respondents are asked to skip if the questions did not apply to them. With some age routing however the number of questions that an "under 1 " or "under 3 " would be asked to answer is quite small, and basing changes on such information may risk introducing bias into the dataset. For these cases, it is proposed that the only changes that the Filter Rules would make would be where the age agrees with the routing; thus the change would be from (-9) "missing" to (-5) "no code required", with the rest left to Edit and Imputation to resolve. Please see the specification in section 5.4 for details.

### 5.2 Processing Software

In 2011, the Filter Rules were coded and processed using SAS, a statistical software package which was used for most of Census data processing by the National Records of Scotland (NRS).

For 2022, a decision was made to process the Filter Rules in specialist Edit and Imputation software called CANCEIS (the CANadian Edit and Imputation System)7. This was due to the number of errors which were found in the original SAS code and the lack of versioning to inform how it related to the specification. Since the rules themselves require review and re-write (due to changes in variable structure, formats, questionnaire layout, etc), writing and modifying the rules into CANCEIS was tested with 2011 Census data and it was decided that development time for the rules in CANCEIS is acceptable. Further, since the Edit and Imputation process will be run in CANCEIS, integration solutions will be required regardless of the software

[^6]selected for the use of Filter Rules. Since it is a related process to Edit and Imputation, CANCEIS seemed to also be the best fit for the software to process Filter Rules.

CANCEIS contains two engines to process data - a deterministic (rules-based) engine, and the donor engine that Edit and Imputation primarily uses. Filter Rules will solely use the deterministic engine.

SAS will still be used in other parts of data processing, as well as to facilitate the load and extraction of text files which are processed by CANCEIS.

### 5.3 Methodology Changes Needed or Considered for 2022

As many aspects of the 2022 Census questionnaire are similar to the questionnaire in 2011, as a starting point many of the rules (and sub-rules) from 2011 will be carried over to be used in 2022. These act as a template for areas where the questionnaire didn't change (or didn't change much). From there, the methodology reviewed and changes are implemented where required. The major areas for change consist of:

1. Incorporation of new routing from the questionnaire - including age-based routing (as described in section 5.1.1).
2. Removal of routing that no longer applies
3. Re-work of the Economic Activity Routing Question In 2011, the "Year Last Worked" question.
4. Updating the Dependent Variable Groups (aka Dependent Fields)

To determine whether questionnaire routing has been followed, Filter Rules checks whether a certain number of questions which should be skipped in the routing have been answered. These are known as 'dependent fields'.

For each rule which uses 'dependent fields', the groups have been updated appropriately with variables new to the census for 2022. . For example, the working age routing filter rule takes account of responses to new questions which are only applicable to those aged 16 or over. These are the armed forces veteran, sexual orientation and gender identity questions (note, while some of these are voluntary questions and respondents may choose not to answer them regardless of age, a response would still contribute to the overall "score" as we consider it evidence that perhaps the age stated on the questionnaire is incorrect).

For detail on each of the 2022 rules, the specification details each proposed rule below (Section 5.4).

### 5.4 2022 Filter Rule Methodology

## Household Rules

A. Filter Rule A - Tenure and Landlord

## Person Rules

B. Filter Rule B - Marital Status for Under 16s
C. Filter Rule C - Full Time Education for 0-3 Year Olds
D. Filter Rule D - Student and Term Time Address
E. Filter Rule E - Country of Birth and Year of Arrival
F. Filter Rule F - 0 Year Olds and Address One Year Ago
G. Filter Rule G-Carers Aged 0-2
H. Filter Rule H-Language Variables for 0-2 Year Olds
I. Filter Rule I - Long Term Health
J. Filter Rule J - Under 16 s and Economic Activity
K. Filter Rule K - Economic Activity
L. Filter Rule L-Travel to Work or Study
M. Filter Rule M - Method of Travel
N. Filter Rule N - Travel or Work from Home

## Notes:

-5 means "No Code Required"
-7 means "Invalid"
-9 means "Missing"

## Filter Rule A - Tenure (H12) and Landlord (H13)

Filter Rule $A$ is the only filter rule that is included in the Household section of the census questionnaire.

| Item | Variable A <br> (If tenure is...) |  | Variable B <br> (If landlord is...) | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Ticked, either: <br> 1 (Owns outright), or <br> 2 (Mortgage/Loan) | And | Ticked: <br> 1 (Council/LA), or <br> 2 (Private/Agency) | No Action | This is (essentially) the same as 2011. |


|  | Ticked, either: <br> 3 (Owns w/ Shared Equity), <br> or <br> 5 (Part Owns/Part Rents) |  | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | Set: <br> landlord to -5 (NCR) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Ticked, either: <br> 4 (Rents), or <br> 6 (Lives Here Rent Free), or <br> -7 (Invalid) <br> -9 (Missing) | And | Any Value <br> (ticked or unticked) | No Action | This is (essentially) the same as 2011. |

## Filter Rule B - Marital Status (5) for Under 16s

This is the first of several new age-based routing questions, and one where the guidance on paper questionnaires does not match the online routing. As such, a deterministic edit (in Filter Rules) will only occur where responses match routing appropriately - meaning any contradiction will be resolved in E\&I.

To qualify as "Filled", two or more questions in the Dependent Fields must be answered. See below for a list of the variables in the Dependent Fields group (generally questions that apply to 16+).

| Item | Variable A |  | Variable B |  | Dependent Fields | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If age is...) |  | (If mar_stat is...) |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0-15 | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Never) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 2 | 0-15 | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Never) | \& | Not Filled | Set: <br> mar_stat to -5 <br> (No Code Required) | Generally expected behaviour; since there is no routing guidance for this question on paper, a response of "never" should be sufficient to route |
| 3 | 0-15 | \& | Ticked: <br> ANY except <br> 1 (Never) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 4 | 0-15 | \& | Ticked: <br> ANY except <br> 1 (Never)) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 5 | 0-15 | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| Item | Variable A |  | Variable B |  |  | Action (Then...) | Notes |
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|  | (If age is...) |  | (If mar_stat is...) |  | Dependent Fields |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 0-15 | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | Set: <br> mar_stat to -5 <br> (No Code Required) | Expected behaviour |
| 7 | 16+ | \& | Ticked: ANY | \& | Filled | No Action | Expected behaviour |
| 8 | 16+ | \& | Ticked: ANY | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 9 | 16+ | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\& to resolve |
| 10 | 16+ | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\& to resolve |
| 11 | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Ticked: ANY | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 12 | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Ticked: ANY | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\& to resolve |
| 13 | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\& to resolve |
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| 14 | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | \& Not Filled $\quad$ No Action $\quad$| Response cannot be |
| :--- |
| reasonably assumed - E\&l to |
| resolve |

## Dependent Fields

trans_sta
sex_orient
quals_school
quals_apprentice
quals_further quals_higher ex_service
emplyd_last_week
emplyd_last_week_grp2
other_act
look_work
avail_work
wait_work
ever_worked
emp_stat
employer
occupation
industry
supervisor
hours_worked
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## Filter Rule C - Full Time Education (6) and 0-3 Year Olds (2)

This is another (new) age-based filter rule where the guidance on paper questionnaires does not match the online routing. As such, a deterministic edit (in Filter Rules) will only occur where responses match routing appropriately - meaning any contradiction will be resolved in E\&I.

To qualify as "Filled", two or more questions in the Dependent Fields must be answered. See below for a list of the variables in the Dependent Fields group (generally questions that apply to 16+).

| Item | Variable A |  | Variable B |  | Dependent Fields | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If age is...) |  | (If student is...) |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0-3 | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes), or 2 (No) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 2 | 0-3 | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 3 | 0-3 | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes), or 2 (No) | \& | Not Filled | If $\mathbf{2}$ (No), Set: student to -5 (No Code Required) | Expected behaviour without guidance; in line with routing |
| 4 | 0-3 | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 5 | 0-3 | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | Set: <br> student to -5 <br> (No Code Required) | Expected behaviour in line with routing |


| Item |  |  |  |  | Dependent Fields | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If age is...) |  | (If student is...) |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 4+ | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes), or 2 (No) | \& | Filled | No Action | Expected behaviour |
| 7 | 4+ | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes), or 2 (No) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 8 | 4+ | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 9 | 4+ | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 10 | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes), or 2 (No) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 11 | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes), or 2 (No) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 12 | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 13 | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
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Dependent Fields

| trans_stat |
| :--- |
| mar_stat |
| sex_orient |
| quals_school |
| quals_apprentice |
| quals_further |
| quals_higher |
| ex_service |
| emplyd_last_week |
| emplyd_last_week_grp2 |
| other_act |
| look_work |
| avail_work |
| wait_work |
| ever_worked |
| emp_stat |
| employer |
| occupation |
| industry |
| supervisor |
| hours_worked |
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## Filter Rule D - Student (6) and Term Time Address (7)

For Variable Groups A and B: Variable Groups are made up of several different questions (variables), noted below. In order to be considered "Filled", there needs to be a valid response to at least three or more questions in Group A. "Not Filled" is a response to two or fewer questions.

| Item | Variable A |  | Variable B |  | Variable Group | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If ft student is...) |  | (If term_time is...) |  | A |  |  |
| 1 | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes) | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Address on Front) | \& | Filled | No Action | As in 2011 (expected outcome) |
| 2 | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes) | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Address on Front) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | As in 2011 (contradictory response; resolved by E\&I) |
| 3 | Ticked: 1 (Yes) | \& | Ticked: <br> 2 (Another Address) | \& | Filled | No Action | As in 2011 (contradictory response; resolved by E\&l) |
| 4 | Ticked: 1 (Yes) | \& | Ticked: <br> 2 (Another Address) | \& | Not Filled | Set: <br> Group B to -5 <br> (No Code Required) | As in 2011 (expected outcome) |
| 5 | Ticked: 1 (Yes) | \& | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | Set: <br> term_time to -5 <br> (No Code Required) | As in 2011 (behaviour shows respondent simply missed term_time question) |
| 6 | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes) | \& | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | Set: <br> term_time to -5 (NCR) <br> Group B to -5 (NCR) | As in 2011 (behaviour shows it was likely the respondent missed ticking a response for term_time) |


| Item | Variable A |  | Variable B |  | Variable Group | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If ft student is...) |  | (If term_time is...) |  | A |  |  |
| 7 | Ticked: 2 (No) | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Address on Front) | \& | Filled | Set: <br> term_time to -5 <br> (No Code Required) | As in 2011 (respondent did not have to answer term_time) |
| 8 | Ticked: 2 (No) | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Address on Front) | \& | Not Filled | Set: <br> term_time to -5 <br> (No Code Required) | As in 2011 (respondent did not have to answer term_time; dependent fields will be resolved by E\&I) |
| 9 | Ticked: 2 (No) | \& | Ticked: <br> 2 (Another Address) | \& | Filled | Set: <br> term_time to -5 <br> (No Code Required) | As in 2011 (respondent did not have to answer term_time) |
| 10 | Ticked: 2 (No) | \& | Ticked: <br> 2 (Another Address) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | As in 2011 (contradictory response; resolved by E\&I) |
| 11 | Ticked: 2 (No) | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | Set: <br> term_time to -5 <br> (No Code Required) | Expected outcome. This incorporates the 2011 preprocessing rule which was later added during live. |
| 12 | Ticked: 2 (No) | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | Set: <br> term_time to -5 <br> (No Code Required) | This incorporates the 2011 pre-processing rule which was later added during live. The dependent fields are left and should be resolved by E\&I. |
| 13 | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Address on Front) | \& | Filled | No Action | As in 2011 (unable to determine; ft_student was missed; resolved by E\&I) |


| Item | Variable A |  | Variable B |  | Variable Group | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If $\underline{\text { t }}$ student is...) |  | (If term_time is...) |  | A |  |  |
| 14 | $-7 \text { (Invalid), or }$ $-9 \text { (Missing) }$ | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Address on Front) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | As in 2011 (contradictory response; resolved by E\&I) |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & -7 \text { (Invalid), or } \\ & -9 \text { (Missing) } \end{aligned}$ | \& | Ticked: <br> 2 (Another Address) | \& | Filled | No Action | As in 2011 (contradictory response; resolved by E\&I) |
| 16 | Ticked: 2 (No) | \& | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | As in 2011 (contradictory response; resolved by E\&I) |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ticked: } \\ & 2 \text { (No) } \end{aligned}$ | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | As in 2011 (contradictory response; resolved by E\&I) |
| 18 | Ticked: 2 (No) | \& | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | As in 2011 (contradictory response; resolved by E\&I) |

The Dependent Variable Group A is the group of variables that is checked (if the respondent has filled three or more of) as evidence that the questions were to be answered. Group B is all the variables that are affected by the Filter Rule/routing (which will be changed to -5, No Code Required where appropriate).

In 2011, we did not check voluntary questions (of which there was only religion).

Dependent Group A

|  | quals_higher | sex_orient | quals_higher |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cob | ex_service | cob | ex_service |
| mig_arr_month | emplyd_last_week | mig_arr_month | emplyd_last_week |
| mig_arr_year |  | mig_arr_year | emplyd_last_week_grp2 |
| add_1year_ago |  | add_1year_ago | other_act |
|  |  | add_1year_ago_pc | look_work |
|  |  | add_1year_ago_cty | avail_work |
| carer |  | carer | wait_work |
| lang_eng_understand |  | lang_eng_understand | ever_worked |
| lang_eng_speak | emp_stat | lang_eng_speak | emp_stat |
| lang_eng_read | employer | lang_eng_read | employer |
| lang_eng_write | occupation | lang_eng_write | occupation |
| lang_gael | industry | lang_gael | industry |
|  | supervisor | lang_scots | supervisor |
|  | hours_worked | lang_bsl | hours_worked |
| main_lang |  | main_lang | work_study_address |
| health |  | health | work_study_pc |
| It_cond |  | It_cond | work_study_cty |
|  | work_study | It_cond_other | work_study |
| disability |  | disability |  |
| passport |  | passport |  |
|  |  | passport_other_1_of_2 |  |
|  |  | passport_other_2_of_2 |  |
|  |  | religion |  |
| nat_ident_uk |  | nat_ident_uk |  |
|  |  | nat_ident_other |  |
| ethnic_major |  | ethnic_major |  |
|  |  | ethnic_1_of_2 |  |
|  |  | ethnic_2_of_2 |  |
| quals_school |  | quals_school |  |
| quals_apprentice |  | quals_apprentice |  |
| quals_further |  | quals_further |  |
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## Filter Rule E - Country of Birth (9) and Year of Arrival (10)

In 2011, where a respondent was born in a UK country - ie Scotland, England, Wales or Northern Ireland (cob), but filled in year of arrival (then known as yrarr) AND the year of arrival was after year of birth (then dob), this rule changed the country you were born to No Code Required.

However, for 2022 this Filter Rule will prioritise the Country of Birth variable, meaning the mig_arr_month and mig_arr_year variables will be turned to -5 (No Code Required) if a country within the UK is selected.

This is because it was found that the level of accuracy for the Country of Birth question was much higher than the Migration question (ONS 2011 Census Quality Survey), likely due to the ability to interpret the migration question differently than intended - for example, if a respondent thought it meant a return from a holiday outside the UK.

| Item | Variable A |  | Variable B | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (if cob is...) |  | (if mig_arr is...) |  |  |
| 1 | Ticked, either: <br> 923 (Scotland), or <br> 921 (England), or <br> 922 (Northern Ireland), or 924 (Wales) | \& | mig_arr_month and mig_arr_year are valid | Set: <br> mig_arr_month and mig_arr_year to <br> -5 (No Code Required) | This is slightly different to 2011; then, we would have also checked date of birth before setting the mig_arr variables to no code required. For 2022 we have not checked dob (as explained above). |
| 2 | Ticked, either: <br> 923 (Scotland), or <br> 921 (England), or <br> 922 (Northern Ireland), or <br> 924 (Wales) | \& | mig_arr_month and mig_arr_year are invalid <br> -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | Set: <br> mig_arr_month and mig_arr_year to <br> -5 (No Code Required) | As in 2011 |


| Item | Variable A |  | Variable B | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (if cob is...) |  | (if mig_arr is...) |  |  |
| 3 | Any Country Code OTHER <br> THAN <br> UK Countries (923, 921, 922, 924) | \& | mig_arr_month and mig_arr_year are valid | No Action | As in 2011 (appropriate response, no action needed) |
| 4 | Any Country Code OTHER <br> THAN <br> UK Countries <br> (923, 921, 922, 924) | \& | mig_arr_month and mig_arr_year are invalid <br> -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | No Action | As in 2011 (potentially missed response; E\&l to resolve) |
| 5 | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | mig_arr_month and mig_arr_year are valid | No Action | As in 2011 (E\&l to resolve) |
| 6 | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | mig_arr_month and mig_arr_year are invalid <br> -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | No Action | As in 2011 (E\&l to resolve) |

## Filter Rule F - 0 Year Olds (2) and Address One Year Ago (11)

In 2011, this rule was in two parts - the initial filter rule only set a "no code required" to responses if they were under 0 AND had filled out an address. However, during live processing it was found that a larger donor pool was required and a secondary rule was required which covered the rest of respondents younger than 1. For 2022 the rules have been combined.

| Item | Variable A (if age is...) |  | Address 1 Year Ago | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | \& | Filled - response to one or more variable: <br> add_1year_ago <br> add_1year_ago_pc <br> add_1year_ago_cty | Set: <br> All Address 1 Year Ago Fields to -5 (No Code Required) | As in 2011 (respondent does not have to answer the Address 1 Year Ago question if under the age of 1) |
| 2 | 0 | \& | Not Filled <br> -7 Invalid, or <br> -9 Missing | Set: <br> All Address 1 Year Ago Fields to -5 (No Code Required) | As in 2011 (appropriate response; incorporates the pre-processing rule implemented during 2011 live) |
| 3 | 1+ | \& | Filled - response to one or more variable: <br> add_1year_ago <br> add_1year_ago_pc <br> add_1year_ago_cty | No Action | As in 2011 (appropriate response) |
| 4 | $1+$ | \& | Not Filled <br> -7 Invalid, or -9 Missing | No Action | As in 2011 (resolved by E\&I) |


| Item | Variable A (if age is...) |  | Address 1 Year Ago | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | -7 Invalid, or -9 Missing | \& | Filled - response to one or more variable: <br> add_1year_ago <br> add_1year_ago_pc <br> add_1year_ago_cty | No Action | As in 2011 (resolved by E\&I) |
| 6 | -7 Invalid, or -9 Missing | \& | Not Filled <br> -7 Invalid, or -9 Missing | No Action | As in 2011 (resolved by E\&I) |

## Address One Year Ago

## Fields

add_1year_ago
add_1year_ago_pc
add_1year_ago_cty
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## Filter Rule G - Carers (12) Aged 0-2 (2)

This is another (new) age-based filter rule where the guidance on paper questionnaires does not match the online routing. As such, a deterministic edit (in Filter Rules) will only occur where responses match routing appropriately - meaning any contradiction will be resolved in E\&I.

To qualify as "Filled", two or more questions in the Dependent Fields must be answered. See below for a list of the variables in the Dependent Fields group (generally questions that apply to 16+).

| Item | Variable A |  | Variable B |  | Dependent Fields | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If age is...) |  | (If carer is...) |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0-2 | \& | Ticked: 1 (No) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve |
| 2 | 0-2 | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (No) | \& | Not Filled | Set: <br> carer to -5 <br> (No Code Required) | Generally expected behaviour; since there is no routing guidance for this question on paper, a response of "no" should be sufficient to route |
| 3 | 0-2 | \& | Ticked: <br> Any other than 1 (No) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 4 | 0-2 | \& | Ticked: <br> Any other than 1 (No) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve |
| 5 | 0-2 | \& | $\begin{aligned} & -7 \text { (Invalid), or } \\ & -9 \text { (Missing) } \end{aligned}$ | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve |
| 6 | 0-2 | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | Set: carer to -5 (No Code Required) | Expected behaviour |


| Item |  |  |  |  | Dependent Fields | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If age is...) |  | (If student is...) |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 3+ | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes), or 2 (No) | \& | Filled | No Action | Expected behaviour |
| 8 | 3+ | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes), or 2 (No) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve |
| 9 | 3+ | \& | $\begin{aligned} & -7 \text { (Invalid), or } \\ & -9 \text { (Missing) } \end{aligned}$ | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve |
| 10 | $3+$ | \& | $\begin{aligned} & -7 \text { (Invalid), or } \\ & -9 \text { (Missing) } \end{aligned}$ | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve |
| 11 | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes), or 2 (No) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve |
| 12 | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Ticked: <br> 1 (Yes), or 2 (No) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve |
| 9 | ```-7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing)``` | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |
| 10 | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve |

Dependent Fields

| trans_stat |
| :--- |
| mar_stat |
| sex_orient |
| quals_school |
| quals_apprentice |
| quals_further |
| quals_higher |
| ex_service |
| emplyd_last_week |
| emplyd_last_week_grp2 |
| other_act |
| look_work |
| avail_work |
| wait_work |
| ever_worked |
| emp_stat |
| employer |
| occupation |
| industry |
| supervisor |
| hours_worked |
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## Filter Rule H - Language Variables (13-16) for 0-2 Year Olds (2)

This is another (new) age-based filter rule where the guidance on paper questionnaires does not match the online routing. As such, a deterministic edit (in Filter Rules) will only occur where responses match routing appropriately - meaning any contradiction will be resolved in E\&I.

For the language variable group to qualify as "filled", one or more questions must be answered. See below for a list of variables in the language variable group.

For the Dependent Fields to qualify as "Filled", two or more questions must be answered. See below for a list of the variables in the Dependent Fields group (generally questions that apply to 16+).

| Item | Variable A |  | Variable(s) B |  | Dependent Fields | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If age is...) |  | (If language is...) |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0-2 | \& | Filled | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve. |
| 2 | 0-2 | \& | Filled | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve. |
| 3 | 0-2 | \& | Filled: <br> all language variables are "no skills" and main_lang is -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | Set: <br> language group to -5 (No Code Required) | Expected behaviour without guidance; in line with routing |
| 4 | 0-2 | \& | Not Filled, ie <br> -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve. |


| Item | Variable A |  | Variable(s) B |  | Dependent Fields | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If age is...) |  | (If language is...) |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0-2 | \& | Not Filled, ie 7 (Invalid), or 9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | Set: language group to -5 (No Code Required) | Expected behaviour. |
| 6 | $3+$ | \& | Filled | \& | Filled | No Action | Expected behaviour. |
| 7 | 3+ | \& | Filled | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve. |
| 8 | 3+ | \& | Not Filled, ie <br> -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve. |
| 9 | $3+$ | \& | Not Filled, ie -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve. |
| 10 | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve. |
| 11 | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve. |
| 12 | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled, ie -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve. |


| Item | Variable A |  | Variable(s) B |  | Dependent Fields | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If age is...) |  | (If language is...) |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled, ie -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&l to resolve. |


| Language Variable Group | Dependent Fields |
| :--- | :--- |
| lang_eng_understand | trans_stat |
| lang_eng_speak | mar_stat |
| lang_eng_read | sex_orient |
| lang_eng_write | quals_school |
| lang_gael | quals_apprentice |
| lang_scots | quals_further |
| lang_bsl | qual__higher |
| main_lang | ex_service |
|  | emplyd_last_week |
|  | emplyd_last_week_grp2 |
|  | other_act |
|  | look_work |
|  | avail_work |
|  | wait_work |
|  | ever_worked |
|  | emp_stat |
|  | employer |
|  | occupation |
|  | industry |
|  | supervisor |
|  | hours_worked |
|  |  |

## Filter Rule I - Long Term Health (18)

In 2011, this rule was put into CANCEIS pre-processing during live because it was found a large proportion of people did not respond to the question - presumably because those in good health may have missed the "no conditions" option at the bottom of the list. For 2022, this may not be as big a problem as online responses (which make up a greater proportion of submissions) should assist with data quality, but for paper, the list layout is nearly the same in 2022 as in 2011 - so this rule is incorporated here to maximise the donor pool.

| Item | Variable A | Variable B <br> (If It_cond_other is...) | Variable C | (If health is...) | (If disability is...) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Filter Rule J - Under 16s (2) and Economic Activity (25-40)

Note that while this rule primarily deals with the Economic Activity questions, for 2022 it will also route the voluntary under 16 questions (question 4, trans_stat and question 8, sex_orient). Additionally, unlike 2011, the qualifications variables will also be routed here (in 2011 this was dealt with in a pre-processing step).

For the Dependent Fields to qualify as "Filled", two or more questions must be answered. See below for a list of the variables in the Dependent Fields group (generally questions that apply to 16+).

|  | Variable A |  | Variable B |  | Dependent Fields | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | (If age is...) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (If } \\ & \text { emplyd_last_week_grp2 } \\ & \text { is...) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0-15 | \& | 1 (Working) | \& | Filled | No Action | As 2011. Response cannot be reasonably assumed -E\&I to resolve. |
| 2 | 0-15 | \& | 1 (Working) | \& | Not Filled | Set: <br> Dependent Fields to $-5 \text { (NCR) }$ | As 2011 |
| 3 | 0-15 | \& | 2 (Not Working) | \& | Filled | Set: <br> Dependent Fields to -5 (NCR) | As 2011 - conceivable behaviour IF under 16s answer these questions. |
| 4 | 0-15 | \& | 2 (Not Working) | \& | Not Filled | Set: <br> Dependent Fields to -5 (NCR) | As 2011. Generally in line with expected behaviour; just answered a question they were not required to. |
| 5 | 0-15 | \& | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | No Action | As 2011. Response cannot be reasonably assumed - E\&I to resolve |
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|  | Variable A |  | Variable B |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | (If age is...) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (If } \\ & \text { emplyd_last_week_grp2 } \\ & \text { is...) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Dependent Fields | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| 6 | 0-15 | \& | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | Set: <br> Dependent Fields to -5 (NCR) | As 2011 - expected behaviour. |
| 7 | 16+ | \& | 1 (Working) | \& | Filled | No Action | As 2011 - expected behaviour. |
| 8 | 16+ | \& | 1 (Working) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | As 2011 - E\&l to resolve. |
| 9 | 16+ | \& | 2 (Not Working) | \& | Filled | No Action | As 2011. |
| 10 | 16+ | \& | 2 (Not Working) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | As 2011 - E\&I to resolve. |
| 11 | 16+ | \& | $-7 \text { (Invalid), or }$ <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Filled | No Action | As 2011 - E\&I to resolve. |
| 12 | 16+ | \& | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | \& | Not Filled | No Action | As 2011 - E\&l to resolve. |

## Dependent Fields

| trans_stat | ex_service | wait_work | supervisor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sex_orient | emplyd_last_week | ever_worked | hours_worked |
| quals_school | emplyd_last_week_grp2 | emp_stat |  |
| quals_apprentice | other_act | employer |  |
| quals_further | look_work | occupation |  |
| quals_higher | avail_work | industry |  |



## Filter Rule K - Economic Activity (Working Last Week)

In 2011, the question, "Have you ever done any paid work?" was covered by two variables, ever worked and last year worked. Ever worked covered the tick response while a space was provided to write in a year for the last year worked variable. If the year "2011" was filled in the last year worked variable, the assumption generally was that the respondent was referring to current employment.

For 2022, the options for the ever worked variable have changed. There is no longer a last year worked variable and to account for this, the options have been split into "Yes, in the last 12 months" and "Yes but not in the last 12 months". This has added some uncertainty to changing the ever_worked variable in the 2022 filter rule; as the census is issued in March of 2022, the equivalent to writing "2011" in last year worked is not the same as "Yes, in the last 12 months". Thus, many changes that require choice between the two ever worked options have been left for E\&l to resolve where we may originally (in 2011) have made changes.

For the Dependent Fields to qualify as "Filled", 2 or more questions must be answered. See below for a list of the variables in the Dependent Fields group (generally questions that apply to 16+).

| Item | Variable A | Variable B | Dependent Fields |  | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (emplyd_last_ week grp2) | (ever_worked) | A | B |  |  |
| 1 | 1 (Working) | 1 (Last 12 Months) | Filled | Filled | No Action | Unable to tell if answers pertain to current or past employment. E\&l to resolve. This is similar to what was done in 2011. |
| 2 | 1 (Working) | 1 (Last 12 Months) | Filled | Not Filled | No Action | Unable to tell if answers pertain to current or past employment, and respondent should have filled Fields B according to routing question ever_worked. E\&I to resolve. This is a different to what was done in 2011, but due to question change. |
| 3 | 1 (Working) | 1 (Last 12 Months) | Not Filled | Filled | Set: ever_worked to -5 Fields $A$ to -5 | A respondent currently working who followed routing, except they also answered ever_worked.. <br> This is the same as 2011 (except there is no last year worked to change). |
| 4 | 1 (Working) | 1 (Last 12 Months) | Not Filled | Not Filled | Set: ever worked to -5 Fields $A$ to -5 | A respondent who did not fill Fields A or B, so followed at least part of the routing. <br> They should have filled B (but not A) so leave $B$ as missing and enforce routing by setting Fields $A$ to -5 . <br> This is the same as 2011 (except for lastyrwrk, as it does not exist in 2022). |
| 5 | 1 (Working) | 2 (Not Last 12 Months) | Filled | Filled | Set: <br> emplyd_last_week to 000001 <br> and <br> emplyd_last_week_grp2 to 2 Not Working | A respondent who said they are both currently working but haven't worked in the last 12 months; however, routing is followed except for the Working Last Week question. This is similar to the rule in 2011. |
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| 6 | 1 (Working) | 2 (Not Last 12 Months) | Filled | Not Filled | Set: <br> emplyd_last_week to 000001 <br> and <br> emplyd_last_week_grp2 to 2 Not Working | A respondent who said they are both currently working but haven't worked in the last 12 months, however, routing is followed except for the Working Last Week question. They should have filled $B$, so leave these as missing. This is similar to the rule in 2011. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1 (Working) | 2 (Not Last 12 Months) | Not Filled | Filled | No Action | A respondent who said they are both currently working but haven't worked in the last 12 months. Unable to follow routing/determine which answers pertain to, so no action. |
| 8 | 1 (Working) | 2 (Not Last 12 Months) | Not Filled | Not Filled | No Action | As above. |
| 9 | 1 (Working) | 3 (Never Worked) | Filled | Filled | No Action | A contradictory response where the respondent answers they are both working and have never worked. However, they provided all Fields $A$ and Fields B, so there is an indication that they have at least worked in the past. <br> In 2011, we changed the emplyd_last_week variables (to not working) and lastyrwrkd to missing (to allow E\&l to resolve). This question isn't the same for 2022 so we will allow E\&I to resolve. In a way, this is similar to Item 1 (2022). |


| 10 | 1 (Working) | 3 (Never Worked) | Filled | Not Filled | Set: <br> emplyd_last_week to 000001 emplyd_last_week_grp2 to 2 Not Working and <br> Fields B to -5 No Code Required | A contradictory response where the respondent answers that they are working and have never worked. Since they filled Fields $A$ but not $B$, there is heavier indication that they have never worked (routing followed except for emplyd variables). As such, we can resolve the inconsistency by changing the emplyd_last_week variables (and setting B to NCR). This is similar to what we did in 2011. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 1 (Working) | 3 (Never Worked) | Not Filled | Filled | No Action | A contradictory response where the respondent answers they are both working and have never worked. We don't have evidence whether the respondent is currently working or has not worked in the last 12 months so leave this for E\&l to resolve (this is also what we did in 2011). |
| 12 | 1 (Working) | 3 (Never Worked) | Not Filled | Not Filled | No Action | A contradictory response where the respondent answers they are working and have never worked. Can't tell if routing was followed so leave for E\&I to resolve. |
| 13 | 1 (Working) | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | Filled | Filled | Set: <br> ever_worked to -5 <br> Fields $A$ to -5 | Similar to 2011 |
| 14 | 1 (Working) | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | Filled | Not Filled | No Action | In 2011, we changed the emplyd variables to Not Working and ever_worked to missing to allow E\&I to resolve. This isn't quite the same in 2022, so No Action to allow E\&I to resolve. |


| 15 | 1 (Working) | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | Not Filled | Filled | Set: ever_worked to -5 Fields $A$ to -5 | Appropriate response - enforce routing. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 1 (Working) | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | Not Filled | Not Filled | No Action | Not enough information - E\&I to resolve. |
| 17 | 2 (Not Working) | 1 (Last 12 Months) | Filled | Filled | No Action | Appropriate response, no actions needed. |
| 18 | 2 (Not Working) | 1 (Last 12 Months) | Filled | Not Filled | No Action | Respondent should have filled $B$ - No action, allow E\&l to resolve. |
| 19 | 2 (Not Working) | 1 (Last 12 Months) | Not Filled | Filled | No Action | Respondent should have filled A - No action, allow E\&l to resolve. |
| 20 | 2 (Not Working) | 1 (Last 12 Months) | Not Filled | Not Filled | No Action | Respondent should have filled $A$ and $B$ - No Action, allow E\&l to resolve. |
| 21 | 2 (Not Working) | 2 (Not Last 12 Months) | Filled | Filled | No Action | Appropriate response, no actions needed. |
| 22 | 2 (Not Working) | 2 (Not Last 12 Months) | Filled | Not Filled | No Action | Respondent should have filled $B$ - No action, allow E\&l to resolve. |
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| 23 | 2 (Not Working) | 2 (Not Last 12 Months) | Not Filled | Filled | No Action | Respondent should have filled A - No action, allow E\&I to resolve. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 2 (Not Working) | 2 (Not Last 12 Months) | Not Filled | Not Filled | No Action | Respondent should have filled $A$ and $B$ - No Action, allow E\&l to resolve. |
| 25 | 2 (Not Working) | 3 (Never Worked) | Filled | Filled | No Action | Respondent has ticked they have never worked, but by filling B there is a strong indication they have done some work previously. In 2011, we would have changed the ever_worked variable to No, but this isn't the same in 2022 so leave for E\&I to resolve. |
| 26 | 2 (Not Working) | 3 (Never Worked) | Filled | Not Filled | No Action | Appropriate response - no action needed. |
| 27 | 2 (Not Working) | 3 (Never Worked) | Not Filled | Filled | No Action | In 2011, we changed ever_worked to missing to allow E\&I to resolve. This isn't quite the same in 2022, so No Action to allow E\&l to resolve. |
| 28 | 2 (Not Working) | 3 (Never Worked) | Not Filled | Not Filled | Set: <br> Fields $B$ to -5 No Code Required | Respondent has largely followed routing, except they should have filled Fields A. Leaving these unchanged will be resolved in E\&I, but routing can be enforced here by setting B to NCR. This is similar to what was done in 2011. |


| 29 | 2 (Not <br> Working) | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | Filled | Filled | No Action | In 2011, we changed ever_worked to Yes <br> and allowed E\&I to resolve lastyrwrkd. <br> However, this isn't quite the same in 2022, <br> so No Action allows E\&I to resolve. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30 | 2 (Not <br> Working) | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | Filled | Not <br> Filled | No Action | E\&l to resolve - this is the same as 2011. |


| 37 | -7 (Invalid), <br> or <br> -9 (Missing) | 2 (Not Last 12 <br> Months) | Filled | Filled | Set: <br> emplyd_last_week to 000001 <br> emplyd_last_week_grp2 to <br> 2 Not Working | As 2011. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 44 | -7 (Invalid), <br> or <br> -9 (Missing) | 3 (Never Worked) | Not Filled | Not Filled | Set:3 <br> emplyd_last_week to 000001 emplyd_last_week_grp2 to 2 Not Working and Fields $B$ to -5 No Code Required | Generally aligns with appropriate routing, though E\&l will resolve Fields A. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | ```-7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing)``` | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | Filled | Filled | Set: <br> emplyd_last_week to 000001 emplyd_lastflag_week_grp2 to 2 Not Working | Filling Fields B indicates that there is a history of work; however, leaving the ever_worked variable for E\&I to resolve. |
| 46 | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | Filled | Not Filled | No Action | Not enough information-E\&I to resolve. |
| 47 | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | -7 (Invalid), or <br> -9 (Missing) | Not Filled | Filled | No Action | E\&I to resolve. |
| 48 | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | -7 (Invalid), or -9 (Missing) | Not Filled | Not Filled | No Action | Not enough information - E\&I to resolve. |

## Dependent Fields A Dependent Fields B

| other_act | emp_stat |
| :--- | :--- |
| look_work | employer |
| avail_work | occupation |
| wait_work | industry |
|  | supervisor |
|  | hours_worked |
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## Filter Rule L - Work or Study More

The online questionnaire has a series of sub-questions around working and studying which determines which activity the respondent does more if they have indicated they both work and study. This is because the "Travel to Work" address response should apply to the place where the respondent spends the most time. However, there is no such indicator on a paper questionnaire. For the most part, the Filter Rules can't make this determination, except in a couple of straightforward cases, detailed here.

| Item | Variable A | Variable B | Variable C | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If ft_student is...) | (If other_act is...) | $\underset{\text { grp2 }}{\text { emplyd_last_week_ }}$ |  |  |
| 1 | 1 (Yes) | 2 (Studying) | 1 (Working) | No Action | Unable to determine if respondent works or studies more. |
| 2 | 1 (Yes) | 2 (Studying) | 2 (Not Working) | Set: <br> work_study to 2 (Study) |  |
| 3 | 1 (Yes) | Anything other than 2 (Studying) $^{8}$ | 1 (Working) | No Action | Unable to determine if respondent works or studies more. |
| 4 | 1 (Yes) | Anything other than 2 (Studying) | 2 (Not Working) | No Action | Unable to determine if respondent works or studies more. |
| 5 | 2 (No) | 2 (Studying) | 1 (Working) | No Action | Unable to determine if respondent works or studies more. |
| 6 | 2 (No) | 2 (Studying) | 2 (Not Working) | No Action | Unable to determine if respondent works or studies more. |
| 7 | 2 (No) | Anything other than 2 (Studying) | 1 (Working) | Set: <br> work_study to 1 (Work) |  |
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| 8 | 2 (No) | Anything other than <br> 2 (Studying) | 2 (Not Working) | No Action | Not enough information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Filter Rule M - Method of Travel

This rule is essentially the same as 2011, with the exception that travel options for "outside of the UK" are now set to "no code required".

| Item | Variable A | Variable B | Action (Then...) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (If work_study_address is...) | (If method_travel is...) |  |  |
| 1 | 1 Work from Home | Any Value | Set: method_travel to -5 No Code Required | As in 2011 |
| 2 | 2 Equivalent | Any Value | Set: <br> method_travel to -5 No Code Required | This is similar to 2011, but we did not have the work_study_address option (2 Equivalent) |
| 3 | 3 No Fixed Place | Any Value | No Action | As in 2011 |
| 4 | 4 Offshore | Any Value | No Action | As in 2011 |
| 5 | 5 Another Address | Any Value | No Action | As in 2011 |
| 6 | 6 Outside UK | Any Value | Set: <br> method_travel to -5 No Code Required | This is new for 2022 and will mirror the routing on OCl |
| 7 | -7 Invalid, or -9 Missing | Any Value | No Action | As in 2011 |
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## Filter Rule N - Travel or Work from Home (Transportation Question Recode Option)

There is one situation where the Filter Rules will create a new code for an (inherent) answer option in order to prevent an inconsistency. The "Travel to Work or Study" question (42) gives options for work or studying from home, however, the "Method of Travel" question (43) does not give a tick option for this. In these circumstances, rather than setting a -5 (no code required), the related filter rule ( N ) will create the code " 11 " (Work or Study from home). This was done in 2011 as well for E\&l purposes.

| Item | Variable A | Variable B <br> (If work_study_address is...) | Aftion <br> (If method_travel is...) |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 Work from Home | Any Value | Set: <br> method_travel to <br> 11 (Work or Study from Home) | As in 2011 |
| 2 | 2 Equivalent | Any Value | Set: <br> method_travel to <br> 11 (Work or Study from Home) | This is similar to 2011, but we did <br> not have the work_study_address <br> option (2 Equivalent) |
| 3 | 3 Any other option | Any Value | No Action | As in 2011 |
| 4 | -7 Invalid, or <br> -9 Missing | Any Value | No Action | As in 2011 |

## 6. Strengths and Limitations

The main strength of the filter rules process is that it reduces the burden on the following processing step, Edit and Imputation. It does this by reinforcing the questionnaire routing on the Census questionnaire, by re-coding appropriately skipped responses from "missing" to "no code required", signalling to Edit and Imputation that a response is not required for that particular question. Additionally, it resolves basic inconsistencies by deterministically applying rules in situations where the response can be reasonably assumed. This in turn relieves Edit and Imputation of some burden, and maximises the donor pool.

A limitation of the filter rules could be that the rules are pre-set before we receive the data, therefore there may be routing issues or inconsistencies that had not been accounted for. To try and mitigate this, an empty filter rule has been set up for both the Household and Individual data set to allow the results to be looked at and a further filter rule created if needs be.

Without the filter rules process, the burden on Edit and Imputation would be increased, meaning that the process could run slower or less effectively. Having a less accurate donor pool means that imputation would not be as accurate.

## 7. Conclusion

Filter Rules is one of the "data cleansing" steps in census data processing and are applied to Census Household, Household Person and Individual questionnaires.

Filter Rules is most important for responses on paper forms. As a feature of the online questionnaire, respondents are simply brought to the next question, based on the answer(s) given in preceding questions. This prevents the respondent from seeing unnecessary questions, which reduces respondent burden and minimises the ability to provide inconsistent answers. On paper however, a respondent is able to see all of the questions at once, providing the opportunity to create these
inconsistencies. To minimise the potential bias between the two modes of completion, all responses (both online and paper) will be processed through the filter rules.

Filter Rules is required as a precursor to Edit and Imputation. This is to ensure consistency in the application of questionnaire routing and resolve inconsistencies in responses, which in turn relieve some of the burden from Edit and Imputation processing, and maximise the E\&I donor pool.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Please see the Edit and Imputation Overview for more information on this process, located at: https://www.scotlandscensus.gov.uk/media/cmepkqpp/scotland-s-census-2022-emaps-pmp012-overview-of-edit-and-imputation-for-2022-pdf-61.pdf

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For more details about these processes, please see the relevant published methodologies: https://www.scotlandscensus.gov.uk/external-methodology-assurance-panels-emaps-0

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The group of questions on the census that related to a person's economic activity

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ What follows is a summary and/or general description of the rule as it was in 2011 - however, there may be further details not discussed. Each rule contained a number of sub-rules which determined specific actions (or noted potential actions).

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ The Edit and Imputation methodology paper can be found:
    https://www.scotlandscensus.gov.uk/media/cmepkqpp/scotland-s-census-2022-emaps-pmp012-overview-of-edit-and-imputation-for-2022-pdf-61.pdf

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ Aside from on the two new voluntary questions regard ing trans status and sexual o rientation, the marital status question, and the under 16 s qualifications and employment questions (as in 2011).

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ For more inf ormation on CANCEIS, please refer to the following page:
    https://www.istat.it/en/methods-and-tools/methods-and-it-tools/process/processing-tools/canceis

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ Including Invalid or Missing

